

not in form (Eq. 8). Mean values of the rate constants given in Table 1, calculated without taking into account of k_1 and k_2 for the last time interval, are: $\bar{k}_1 = 340.8$; $\bar{k}_2 = 415.6$. Most favourable estimators of the rate constants determined by Seinfeld and Gavalas (1970) by the quasilinearization method and equal to: $k_{1,opt} = 347$; $k_{2,opt} = 403$, respectively, differ from the values \bar{k}_1 and \bar{k}_2 by 2 and 3%, respectively. Thus, the values obtained by means of algebraic Eq. 6 should be considered good initial guesses of parameters.

If it is justified to assume that the measuring error is low then, at a suitably small step h and by applying an approximating formula of high accuracy, the resulting values of rate constants may be considered accurate. For many applications such an approximation may be fairly sufficient. It should be merely remembered that formulas 2 of an order higher than 2 require a constant step.

Because of its simplicity, the suggested method for calculation of the approximate values of model parameters or time dependences of state variables may be of substantial assistance for initial selection of models and for evaluation of the experimental conditions.

NOTATION

a, b	= coefficients in formula 2
f	= vector of rate of change of concentration
h	= integration step size
k	= vector of parameters
K	= equilibrium constant
m	= differential index

n	= integration step index
N	= number of state variables
R	= number of values of t at which data are taken
t	= time variable
q	= order of formula accuracy
x	= state vector
y	= observation vector
η	= value of t in error formula

LITERATURE CITED

- Froment, G. F., "Model Discrimination and Parameter Estimation in Heterogeneous Catalysis," *AIChE J.*, **21**, 1041 (1975).
Himmelblau, D. M., C. R. Jones, and K. B. Bischoff, "Determination of Rate Constants for Complex Kinetics Models," *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundamentals*, **6**, 539 (1967).
Kittrell, J. R., R. Mezaki, and C. C. Watson, "Estimation of Parameters for Nonlinear Least Squares Analysis," *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, **57**, 18 (1965).
Lapidus, L. and J. H. Seinfeld, *Numerical Solution of Ordinary Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York (1971).
Pexidr, V., "Evaluation of Nonlinear—Regression Kinetic Data. III. Evaluation of Integral Kinetic Data," *Chem. Prum.*, **24**, 354 (1974).
Seinfeld, J. H., "Nonlinear Estimation Theory," *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, **62**, 32 (1970).
Seinfeld, J. H. and G. R. Gavalas, "Analysis of Kinetic Parameters from Batch and Integral Reaction Experiments," *AIChE J.*, **16**, 644 (1970).
Van den Bosch, B. and L. Hellinckx, "A New Method for the Estimation of Parameters in Differential Equations," *AIChE J.*, **20**, 250 (1974).

Manuscript received April 11, 1980; revision received October 7, and accepted October 21, 1980.

An Explicit Equation for Particle Settling Velocities in Solid-Liquid Systems

D. J. ZIGRANG

and

N. D. SYLVESTER

College of Engineering and Physical Sciences
The University of Tulsa
Tulsa, OK 74104

Zanker (1980) has recently presented nomographs for determining particle settling velocities in solid-liquid systems. These nomographs were based on the general correlations developed by Barnea and Mizrahi (1973) and Barnea and Mednick (1975),

$$C_{D\phi} = \left(0.63 + \frac{4.8}{\sqrt{\text{Re}_\phi}}\right)^2 \quad (1)$$

where

$$C_{D\phi} = \left(\frac{4d(\rho_d - \rho_c)g}{3\rho_c U_\phi^2}\right) \left(\frac{1 - \phi}{1 + \phi^{1/3}}\right) \quad (2)$$

and

$$\sqrt{\text{Re}_\phi} = \left(\frac{U_\phi d \rho_c}{\mu_c \exp[5\phi/3(1 - \phi)]}\right)^{1/2} \quad (3)$$

Settling ϕ equal to zero yields the equations for single particle settling.

In order to avoid an implicit solution for the settling velocity, U_ϕ , from Eqs. 1-3, Zanker presented his nomographs. However, it is shown below that Eqs. 1-3 can be combined to yield

an explicit solution for U_ϕ ; thus, eliminating the need for either an iterative solution or Zanker's nomographs.

Substitution of Eq. 3 into Eq. 1 and combining the result with Eq. 2 yields

$$U_\phi = a - b\sqrt{U_\phi} \quad (4)$$

where

$$a = \frac{2}{0.63\sqrt{3}} \left[\frac{(\rho_d - \rho_c)gd(1 - \phi)}{\rho_c(1 - \phi^{1/3})} \right]^{1/2}$$

and

$$b = \frac{4.8}{0.63} \left[\frac{\mu_c \exp[5\phi/3(1 - \phi)]}{\rho_c d} \right]^{1/2}$$

Equation 4 for U_ϕ can be rewritten in the form of the quadratic

$$U_\phi^2 - (2a + b^2)U_\phi + a^2 = 0 \quad (5)$$

and easily solved to yield the desired result for the settling velocity.

$$U_\phi = c - \sqrt{c^2 - a^2} \quad (6)$$

where

$$c = \frac{1}{2} (2a + b^2) \quad (7)$$

Zanker presented two examples to illustrate the use of his nomographs. In both cases he let

$$\rho_c = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3 \quad \phi = 0.3$$

$$\rho_d = 2500 \text{ kg/m}^3 \quad \mu_c = 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg/m/s}$$

For $d = 20 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}$ he found $U_\phi = 0.0014 \text{ cm/s}$ and

For $d = 2000 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}$ he found $U_\phi = 6.5 \text{ cm/s}$

Using these values we find $a = 2.036 \times 10^{-2}$, $b = 5.445$, $c = 14.843$ and $U_\phi = 0.001395 \text{ cm/s}$ for the first case and $a = 2.036 \times 10^{-1}$, $b = 5.445 \times 10^{-1}$, $c = 3.518 \times 10^{-1}$ and $U_\phi = 6.49 \text{ cm/s}$ for the second case. These values agree with Zanker's.

It is concluded that Eq. 6 can be used directly to compute particle settling velocities, eliminating the uncertainty associated with nomographs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the Department of Energy, Bartlesville, Oklahoma, for partial support of this research under contract No. R3017-4 entitled "Proppant Transport During Massive Fracturing" at The University of Tulsa, Tulsa, OK.

NOTATION

a, b, c	= coefficients
C_d	= drag coefficient
d	= particle diameter, m
g	= acceleration due to gravity, ms^{-2}
ρ_c	= fluid density, kg m^{-3}
ρ_d	= solid particle density, kg m^{-3}
Re_ϕ	= Reynold's number
U_ϕ	= settling velocity, ms^{-1}
μ	= fluid viscosity, $\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$
ϕ	= volume fraction of solids

LITERATURE CITED

- Barnea, E., and J. Mizrahi, "General Correlation for Fluidization and Sedimentation," *The Chem. Eng. J.*, **5**, No. 2, p. 171 (1973).
 Barnea, E., and R. L. Mednick, "Correlations for Minimum Fluidization Velocity," *Trans. Inst. Chem. Engrs.*, **53**, p. 278 (1975).
 Zanker, Adam, "Nomographs Determine Settling Velocities for Solid-Liquid Systems," *Chem. Eng.*, p. 147 (May 19, 1980).

Manuscript received October 14 and accepted December 10, 1980.